(Pages:	3))
---------	----	---

Reg.	No.	:	*******	 	
Name	e:				

Sixth Semester B.A. LL.B/B.Com LL.B/B.B.A LL.B (Five Year Integrated)

Degree Examination, November 2023

Paper IV — LAW OF EVIDENCE

(2011 - 2019 Admission)

Time: 3 Hours

Max. Marks: 80

PART - A

Answer any **five** questions. **Each** question carries **2** marks. Answer should not exceed **60** words each.

- 1. Define 'Proved' and 'Not Proved'.
- 2. Rules regarding Leading Question.
- 3. Accomplice.
- 4. Voire dire Test.
- 5. Electronic evidence.
- 6. Hostile Witness.
- 7. Expert Evidence.

 $(5 \times 2 = 10 \text{ Marks})$

PART - B

Answer any four questions. Each question carries 4 marks. Answer should not exceed 120 words each.

- 8. Differentiate between primary and secondary evidence.
- 9. Opinion as to rights of customs and usages.
- 10. Explain the law of estoppel in Evidence Act.
- 11. Discuss the scope and admissibility of circumstantial evidence.
- 12. Facts which need not be proved.

 $(4 \times 4 = 16 \text{ Marks})$

PART - C

Answer any four questions. Each question carries 6 marks.

- 13. An accused person under the custody of the police explains about the offence and state about the place where he kept the stolen articles. Police retrieve the goods as per the statement of the accused. Examine the admissibility of the statement.
- 14. A girl had written letters to her parents from her marital home stating cruelty and demand of dowry. She met with unnatural death three months after her marriage at her marital home. Can these letters be relied on by the parents of the deceased victim as dying declaration?
- 15. A police officer proceeded to a crime scene and arrested certain offenders on the basis of information received from a reliable source. At trial can the Police Officer be compelled to disclose the name of the informant?
- 16. Sam wrote a letter to Police officer confessing that he committed the murder of Raju. The letter was produced before the court. Accussed opposes. Decide.
- 17. Discuss the relevancy of the case were the identity of the accused is challenged on the ground that no test identification parade was conducted during the investigation of a case where no name is mentioned in FIR.

 $(4 \times 6 = 24 \text{ Marks})$

PART - D

Answer any **three** questions. **Each** question carries **10** marks. Answer should not exceed **300** words.

- 18. Explain the provisions of privileged communications.
- 19. What are the various modes of examination of witnesses?
- 20. What is Secondary evidence and state the circumstances in which secondary evidence is admissible to prove a document.
- 21. 'Hearsay evidence is no evidence'. State the exceptions to the rule.

 (3 × 10 = 30 Marks)