	(Pages : 2)	D - 3692
Reg. No. :		D - 3092
Name :	PART – III	
of ballah beauco De	L.B./B.B.A.LL.B./B.Com.LL.B. (F gree Examination, January 2018 LAW OF EVIDENCE	ive Year Integrated)
Time: 3 Hours	The defence argues that the confesting failure on the part of the magistra	Max. Marks: 80
	his wife about 11s TRAP the murder	
Answer any five question	ns. Each question carries 2 marks. A	Answers should not
1. Evidence.		a privileged commun
3. Confession.4. Hearsay evidence.	ons were recorded in a case of allege two by the police officer and the ma declarations the defence want all the sible. Examine which of these dec	doctor and the other discrepancies in the declared as inadmis probative value.
5. Oral evidence.6. Competency of a witne	on alleged double voting the High How far the same is legitimate?.ase	4. In an election case comparison by itself.
7 Refreshing moment	these in a criminal case was declare to the court that the entire deposition	5. X the prosecution wi
(4x6=24 Marks)		discarded. Examine.
Answer any four question exceed 120 words.	ns. Each question carries 4 marks. A	Answers should not
a. Resgestae.		exceed 300 words.
b. Similar facts.		a. How the Evidence Ad
	idence? Explain its relevance and ac	b. What is character evi
d. Expert opinion.	admission and confession.	
e. Leading questions.	discuss the kinds of estoppels:	

P.T.O.



PART - III

Answer any four questions. Each question carries 6 marks.

- 1. A magistrate while recording confession statement of the accused failed to warn the accused that he is not bound to confess and that the statement might be used against him. The defence argues that the confession statement is not admissible owing to the failure on the part of the magistrate. Examine.
- 2. 'A', the accused told his wife about his role in the murder of their neighbour in their private talks. When the case was prosecuted their servant who had overheard the conversation was made a prosecution witness. The accused objects to the same holding that the conversation between him and his wife was a privileged communication. Decide.
- 3. Three dying declarations were recorded in a case of alleged murder, one by the doctor, and the other two by the police officer and the magistrate. Citing some discrepancies in the declarations the defence want all the declarations to be declared as inadmissible. Examine which of these declarations have more probative value.
- 4. In an election case on alleged double voting the High Court itself did the comparison by itself. How far the same is legitimate?
- 5. X the prosecution witness in a criminal case was declared as hostile and the prosecution submits to the court that the entire deposition made by him be discarded. Examine. (4x6=24 Marks)

Answer any four questions. Each queVI onTRAPs 4 marks. Answers should not

Answer any three questions. Each question carries 10 marks. Answers should not exceed 300 words.

- a. How the Evidence Act deals with exclusion of oral by documentary evidence?
- b. What is character evidence? Explain its relevance and admissibility in civil and criminal cases.
- c. Distinguish between admission and confession.
- d. Define estoppel and discuss the kinds of estoppels.

(3×10=30 Marks)